can be performed with respect to its elements. We then have to satisfy the condition that $B$ must be positive definite. A more sensible method is to use our above method of constructing the extremal sets of stability and write a problem of type (4.4) /3/.

To solve problem (4.4) we used iterative algorithms of type (3.5), taking the case when the maxima with respect to $t, x_{0}, \alpha$ are not unique. When solving this class of problems we found a high rate of convergence of the iterative processes to the point $\alpha^{(0)}$. The modes obtained were mainly analysed for optimality from the physical stand-point. It must be said that the optimal design of acceleration and focussing systems by our method enables the efficiency of such devices to be greatly improved $/ 3 /$.

The author thanks V.V. Rumyantsev, B.N. Bublik, and N.F. Karichenko for useful discussions and comments.
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ON A REMARK OF POINCARE*

## L.M. MARKHASHOV

Descriptions of non-autonomous mechanical systems by poincaré's equations $/ 1 /$ in the Lagrangian and canonical forms are studied. For systems with a Hamiltonian which depends only on the Chetayev variables $/ 2 /$ and time, the existence of a complete set of linear (non-commuting) first integrals is proved. The required conditions imposed on the kinetic energy and active-forces are studied. Explicit relations for evaluating the integrals by quadratures are obtained. The connection of Poincare's equations with system of hydrodynamic type is noted. The case of the motion of autonomous mechanical systems when the Lagrange function, expressed in velocity parameters, is independent of the coordinates, was mentioned by Poincare as being of special interest. This case includes the theory of geodesic left-invariant metrics in Lie groups (a "generalized rigid body" /3/). The primary element of its construction is a Lie group (configuration manifold). Every metric which is defined in it and is invariant under the group operations, defines the kinetic energy. In studies not directly connected with Poincare's remark, the initial object is the mechanical
*Prik1.Matem.Mekhan.,51,5,724-734,1987
system (the kinetic energy and active forces). Therein lies the difference between the statements of the problems and the results obtained.

1. Initial relations. Let us summarize the facts used below, which we stated and proved in our paper "Some properties and applications of the Poincare-Chetayev equations*. (*Preprint 273, Inst. Problem Mechaniki, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow, 1968). Throughout, the indices take the values

$$
i, j, l, k, p=1, \ldots, n ; \quad \alpha, \dot{\beta}=1, \ldots, N ; \quad v=1, \ldots, N-s
$$

Summation over repeated indices is understood.
Let $x_{\alpha}$ be the coordinates of the mechanical system with $s$ degrees of freedom, constrained by $N-s$ ideal holonomic non-stationary couplings $f_{v}(x, t)=0$, the explicit form of which is not necessarily known. We assume that the possible displacements and actual velocities of the system can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta x_{\alpha} & =\xi_{\alpha}{ }^{j}(x, t) \Omega_{j}, \quad x_{\alpha}{ }^{*}=\xi_{\alpha}^{j}(x, t) \eta_{j}+\xi_{\alpha}(x, t) ; \quad N, n \geqslant \\
s & =\operatorname{rank}\left\|\xi_{\alpha}^{j}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

where the parameters $\Omega_{j}$ of the possible displacements and the Poincare parameters $\eta_{j}$ are independent. It is assumed that the corresponding operators

$$
X_{0}=\partial / \partial t+\xi_{\alpha}(x, t) \partial / \partial x_{\alpha}, \quad X_{j}=\xi_{\alpha}^{j}(x, t) \partial / \partial x_{\alpha}
$$

of which just $s+1$ are linearly independent, form a basis of the ( $n+1$ )-dimensional Lie algebra (algebra A)

$$
\left[X_{k}, X_{l}\right]=c_{h t}^{p} X_{p}, \quad\left[X_{k}, X_{0}\right]=c_{k 0}^{p} X_{p}
$$

Let $L\left(t, x, x^{*}\right)$ be the Lagrange function of the system and $L^{*}(t, x, \eta) \equiv L\left(t, x, \xi_{1}{ }^{j} \eta_{j}+\xi_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.\xi_{N}{ }^{3} \eta_{j}+\xi_{N}\right) ; Q_{x}$ be the non-conservative forces acting on the system. The equations of motion

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left(\frac{\partial L^{*}}{\partial \eta_{j}}\right)=X_{j}^{\prime} L^{*}+\xi_{\alpha}{ }^{j} Q_{\alpha}, \quad X_{j}^{\prime}=X_{j}+\left(c_{0 j}^{p}+c_{l j}^{p} \eta_{l}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_{p}}  \tag{1.1}\\
& x_{\alpha}{ }^{\prime}=\xi_{\alpha}^{j}(x, t) \eta_{j}+\xi_{\alpha}(x, t) \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

contain $N-s$ redundant coordinates $x$ and $n-s$ redundant parameters $\eta$. With $N=n=s$, $c_{0 j}{ }^{p}=0, Q_{\alpha}=0, \partial \xi_{\alpha}{ }^{j} \partial t=0, \xi_{\alpha}=0$ they become Poincare's equations. With $N \geqslant s, n=s, c_{0}{ }^{p}=$ $0, Q_{\alpha}=0$ they become the Poincaré-Chetayev equations for the case of non-stationary couplings 121.

We note some properties of Eqs.(1.1).
10. Of the $n$ equations (1.1), only $s$ are independent. The rest are linear combinations of the independent equations. Hence, if the latter correspond to the parameters $\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{s}$, then the parameters $\eta_{s+1}, \ldots, \eta_{n}$ are free, i.e., they are not connected by any auxiliary conditions.
$2^{\circ}$. The operators $X_{j}{ }^{\prime}$ form a basis of an algebra isomorphic to algebra $A:\left[X_{j}{ }^{\prime}, X_{k}{ }^{\prime}\right]=$ $c_{j k}{ }^{p} X_{p}{ }^{\prime}$.
$3^{\circ}$. We can obtain Eqs.(1.1) from the known Lagrange equations by a passage to the quasivelocities in accordance with (1.2).

We pass in Eqs. (1.1) and (1.2) with $n=s$ to Chetayev variables $y_{i}=\partial L^{*} / \partial \eta_{i}$. In mechanical problems the Lagrange function $L^{*}$ is non-degenerate quadratic form of the variables $\eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{0}$. By the property of the Legendre transformation (Donkin's theorem $/ 4 /$ ) there is an inverse transformation $\eta_{i}=\partial H^{*} / \partial y_{i}$, generated by the function $H^{*}=\eta_{i} y_{i}-L^{*}$. The equations of motion take the canonical form

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{\alpha}^{*}=\xi_{\alpha}^{i} \frac{\partial H^{*}}{\partial y_{i}}+\xi_{\alpha}  \tag{1.3}\\
& y_{j}^{j}=-\left(\xi_{\alpha}^{j} \frac{\partial H^{*}}{\partial x_{\alpha}}+c_{j l}^{p} y_{p} \frac{\partial H^{*}}{\partial y_{l}}\right)+c_{0}{ }^{p} y_{p}+\xi_{\alpha}^{j} Q_{\alpha}
\end{align*}
$$

Chetayev (/2/, p. 199) was the first to obtain Eqs. (1.3) in the redundant coordinates with non-stationary couplings under the assumption that there are no non-conservative forces $\left(Q_{\alpha}=0\right)$ and that the operator $X_{0}$ permutes with the operators $\left.X_{1}, \ldots, X_{a}\left(c_{0}\right)^{p}=0\right)$. If $n=s$ and $X_{0}=0$; Eqs. (1.1) can be obtained directly from Hamilton's equations

$$
x_{i}^{*}=\partial H / \partial p_{i}, \quad p_{i}^{*}=-\partial H / \partial x_{i}+Q_{i}
$$

by replacing the momenta $y_{k}=\xi_{i}{ }^{k} p_{i}$.
We can write Eqs. (1.3) in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{\alpha}=Y_{\alpha}^{*} H^{*}+\xi_{\alpha}, \quad y_{j}^{c}=-X_{j}^{*} H^{*}+c_{0 j}^{p} y_{p}+\xi_{\alpha}^{j} Q_{\alpha}  \tag{1.4}\\
& Y_{\alpha}^{*}=\xi_{\alpha}^{i}(x, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}}, \quad X_{j}^{*}=\xi_{\alpha}^{j}(x, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}}+c_{j l}^{p} y_{p} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{l}}
\end{align*}
$$

By the operator of shift along the trajectories of motion of the system $z_{i}^{*}=f_{i}\left(z_{i}, t\right)$ we henceforth mean the operator of total time differentiation in the light of the equations of motion of functions which are given in the space $\{z, t\}, S=\partial / \partial t+f_{i}(z, t) \partial / \partial z_{i}$, or the operator acting on functions which are defined in the phase space $\{z\}, S=f_{i}(z) \partial / \partial z_{i}$.

We consider a mechanical system with ideal holonomic non-stationary couplings, whose motion is described by Eqs.(1.4). The shift operator of this system is

$$
S=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\left(Y_{\alpha}^{*} H^{*}+\xi_{\alpha}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\alpha}}+\left(-X_{j}^{*} H^{*}+c_{0 j}^{p} y_{p}+\xi_{\alpha}^{j} Q_{\alpha}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}
$$

We will list some of its properties.
$1^{\circ}$. The operator $S$ can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& S=X_{0}^{*}-\frac{\partial H^{*}}{\partial x_{\alpha}} Y_{\alpha}^{*}+\frac{\partial H^{*}}{\partial y_{j}} X_{j}^{*}  \tag{1.5}\\
& X_{0}^{*}=X_{0}+\left(c_{0 j}^{p} y_{p}+\xi_{x}^{j} Q_{\alpha}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus $S$ belongs to the linear hull stretched on operators $X_{0}{ }^{*}, Y_{\alpha}{ }^{*}, X_{j}{ }^{*}$.
$2^{\circ}$. The system of operators $X_{0}{ }^{*}, Y_{\alpha}{ }^{*}, X_{j}{ }^{*}$ is closed. When there are no non-conservative forces $\left(Q_{\alpha}=0\right)$ its multiplication table is given by the commatation relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[X_{j}{ }^{*}, X_{k}{ }^{*}\right]=c_{j k}^{p} X_{p}{ }^{*}, \quad\left[Y_{\alpha}{ }^{*}, Y_{\beta}{ }^{*}\right]=0}  \tag{1.6}\\
& {\left[X_{j}{ }^{*}, X_{\alpha}{ }^{*}\right]=\frac{\partial \xi_{\alpha}^{j}}{\partial x_{\beta}} Y_{\beta}{ }^{*},\left[X_{0}{ }^{*}, \quad \alpha^{*}\right]=\frac{\partial_{\vartheta_{\alpha}}}{\partial x_{\beta}} Y_{\beta}^{*}} \\
& {\left[X_{0}^{*}, X_{k}{ }^{*}\right]=c_{o k}^{p} X_{p}{ }^{*}}
\end{align*}
$$

30. If the forces $Q_{\alpha} \neq 0$ and are independent of the variables $y$, the last set of relations in Para. 5 will be replaced by

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[X_{0}^{*}, X_{k}^{*}\right]=c_{0 k}^{p} X_{p}^{*}-\left(X_{k} Q_{\beta}^{\prime}+\frac{\partial F_{\alpha}^{k}}{\partial x_{\beta}} Q_{\alpha^{\prime}}\right) Y_{\beta}^{*}}  \tag{1.7}\\
& Q_{\beta^{\prime}}=Q_{\beta}-\partial\left(b_{0}+U\right) / \partial x_{\beta}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(b_{0}+U\right)$ are the terms of $H^{*}$, independent of $y$.
$4^{\circ}$. The left-hand sides of the coupling equations belong to the kernel $K$ of the operators $X_{0}{ }^{*}, Y_{\alpha}{ }^{*}, X_{i}{ }^{*}$, i.e..

$$
X_{0}^{*} f_{v}=Y_{\alpha}^{*} f_{v}=X_{j}^{*} f_{v}=0
$$

50. Let $x_{0+1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ be the coordinates of the conservative system such that we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial H^{*} / \partial x_{\sigma+1}=\ldots=\partial H^{*} / \partial x_{s}=0, \quad \partial \xi_{\gamma} / \partial x_{\sigma+1}=\ldots \\
& \ldots=\partial \xi_{\gamma} / \partial x_{s}=0 \\
& \partial \xi_{\gamma}^{j} / \partial x_{\sigma+1}=\ldots=\partial \xi_{\gamma}^{j} / \partial x_{s}=0 ; \quad \gamma=1, \ldots, \sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

The rank of the matrix $\left\|\xi_{j}{ }^{i}\right\|$, from which the block

$$
\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\xi_{\sigma+1}^{1} & \cdots & \xi_{\sigma+1}^{s} \\
\xi_{s} & \cdots & \xi_{s}^{s}
\end{array}\right|
$$

is removed, is equal to $\sigma$, and

$$
\operatorname{rank}\left\|\begin{array}{l}
\xi_{1}^{1} \cdots \xi_{1}^{s} \\
\xi_{\sigma}^{1} \ldots \xi_{\sigma}^{s}
\end{array}\right\|=\sigma
$$

Then, in accordance with (1.5) and (1.6), the kernel $K$ consists of functions $f v$ and of a further $s-\sigma$ functions $\omega_{\mu}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y_{\gamma}{ }^{*} \omega_{\mu}=X_{j}{ }^{*} \omega_{\mu}=X_{0}{ }^{*} \omega_{\mu}=0 ; \quad \gamma=1, \ldots, \sigma ; \quad j=\mathbf{1}, \ldots, s ;  \tag{1.8}\\
& \mu=1, \ldots, s-\sigma
\end{align*}
$$

These are the functionally independent first integrals of the mechanical system. If, in the Lagrangian form, the latter has the cyclic coordinates $x_{\sigma+1}, \ldots, x_{\sigma+m}(m \leqslant s-\sigma)$ which, on passage to the canonical form, are included in the Chetayev coordinates $x_{0+1}, \ldots, x_{s}$, then all the corresponding cyclic integrals are contained among the functions $\omega_{\mu}$.

Notes. $1^{\circ}$. Here and henceforth, by a first integral of motion $\omega_{\mu}$, we shall mean a solution of the equation $S \omega-0$. The uniqueness of this solution and the degree to which this definition corresponds to modern geometrical ideas on first integrals will not be discussed.
$2^{\circ}$. The condition on the rank of the matrix $\left\|\xi_{j}{ }^{i}\right\|$ is satisfied automatically and in an obvious way in two cases: with $N-s$ and when $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{0}$ are the Lagrangian coordinates, and $x_{\sigma+1}, \ldots, x_{N}$ are parametrized by the remaining $s-\sigma$ Lagrangian coordinates of the system.

A special case, noted by Poincare, corresponds to the following situation which is further considered in Paras.2-4. The mechanical system with ideal holonomic non-stationary couplings and with $s$ degrees of freedom, moves in the absence of active forces ( $U=0, Q{ }_{\alpha}=$ 0 ). We will assume that, by introducing a suitable algebra, we can remove all the coordinates from the function $H^{*}: H^{*}=H^{*}(t, y)$. Then the shift operator $s$ is an element of the linear hull, stretched over the basis system of operators $X_{0}{ }^{*}, X_{1}{ }^{*}, \ldots, X_{s}{ }^{*}$ of the ( $s+1$ ) -dimensional Lie algebra

$$
S=X_{0}^{*}+\frac{\partial H^{*}}{\partial y_{j}} X_{j}^{*}, \quad\left[X_{j}^{*}, X_{k}^{*}\right]=c_{j k}^{p} X_{p}^{*}, \quad\left[X_{0}^{*}, X_{k}^{*}\right]=c_{0 k}^{p} X_{p}^{*}
$$

This follows from (1.5) and (1.6). Putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{*}=1 / 2 b_{i j}(t) y_{i} y_{j}+b_{i}(t) y_{i}+b_{0}(t) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

in Eqs.(1.4) we obtain with $N=s$

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{\alpha}^{\cdot}=\xi_{\alpha}^{i} b_{i j} y_{j}+\xi \varepsilon^{i} b_{i}+\varepsilon_{\alpha}  \tag{1.10}\\
& y_{j}^{*}=c_{l j}^{p} b_{l i} y_{p} y_{i}+\left(c_{0 j}^{p}+c_{l j}^{p} b_{l}\right) y_{p}
\end{align*}
$$

2. On the existence and evaluation of the first integrals. By (1.8), the system of equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{0}^{*} \omega=X_{1}^{*} \omega=\ldots=X_{s}^{*} \omega=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is compatible and its solutions are the first integrals of the equations of motion (1.10). The closed system of operators $X_{0}^{*}, X_{1}^{*}, \ldots, X_{s}^{*}$ acts in $(2 s+1)$-dimensional space $\{t, x, y\}$, and hence has precisely $2 s+1-(s+1)=s$ independent solutions. Let us show that they are all linear in the variables $y_{j}$. The integrals that depend only on $y_{j}$ are non-linear. For $s=3$ they can be evaluated in explicit form (/5/, p.51). We shall seek the compatible solutions of system (2.1) in the form $\omega=\mu_{k} y_{k}, \mu_{k}=\mu_{k}(t, x)$. For the functions $\mu_{k}$ we obtain the system of equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{0} \mu_{k}=c_{p 0}^{k} \quad \mu_{p}, \quad X_{v} \mu_{k}=c_{p v}^{k} \cdot \mu_{p} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To will show that it is compatible, we write the compatibility conditions for each pair of equations $X_{0} \mu_{k}-c_{i, 0}^{k} \mu_{p}=0, \quad X_{\alpha} \mu_{k}-c_{p \alpha}^{k} \mu_{p}=0$, and obtain by Jacobi's conditions

$$
\left[X_{\alpha}, X_{\beta}\right] \mu_{i s}-c_{p \beta}^{k} X_{\alpha} \mu_{p}+c_{p \alpha}^{k} X_{\beta} \mu_{p}=\left(c_{\alpha f}^{p} c_{l p}^{k}+c_{l \alpha}^{p} c_{\beta p}^{k}+c_{\beta l}^{p} c_{\alpha p}^{\kappa}\right) \mu_{l}=0
$$

and similar relations with the replacement $\alpha \rightarrow 0, \beta \rightarrow v$.
By successive integration of system (2.2) we can show that it has s linearly unconnected solutions $\left(\mu_{1}{ }^{(k)}, \ldots, \mu_{s}{ }^{(k)}\right), k=1, \ldots, s$, which form a fundamental system $\left(\mu_{j}{ }^{k}=\delta_{j}^{k}\right.$ at a point
$\left(t_{0}, x^{\circ}\right)$ of general position), while the general solution of system (2.2) is a linear combination of them with constant coefficients.
3. Evaluation of linear integrals. We will show that, if the algebra $A$ with basis

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is solvable ( $/ 6 /$, p.208), the linear integrals of motion can be evaluated by quadratures. We are thus concerned with solvability in the quadratic Eqs. (2.2).

Let $\left(\mu_{1}{ }^{i}, \ldots, \mu_{s}{ }^{i}\right.$ ) be $s$ linearly independent solutions of system (2.2) (which do not necessarily form a fundamental system). We change to a new system of functions $\mu_{k}{ }^{* p}$, given by the relations $\mu_{k}^{* \beta} \mu_{\beta}^{l}=\delta_{k}^{l}$, $\operatorname{det}\left\|\mu_{\beta}^{l}\right\| \neq 0$. These functions satisfy the equations
which are obtained from (2.2) by multiplication by the function $\mu_{i}^{* \beta} \mu_{l}^{* k}$ and addition. Systems (3.2) and (2.2) are equivalent.

If the algebra (3.1) is solvable, then the $(s+1)$-dimensional algebra obtained from it by the addition of the vector $X_{0}$ is also solvable (it is clear from the commutation relations $\left[X_{0}, X_{k}\right]=c_{0 k}^{p} X_{p}$ that algebra (3.1) forms an ideal (/6/, p.143). In a solvable algebra we can find a new basis

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1}{ }^{\circ}, \ldots, X_{s}{ }^{\circ}, X_{s+1}^{\circ},=X_{0} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that every system of operators $X_{1}{ }^{\circ}, \ldots, X_{i}{ }^{\circ}(i=1, \ldots, s+1)$ corresponds to a normal divisor of the local group with algebra (3.3) (/6/, p.212). Consequently, the commutation relations will have the form

$$
\left[X_{k}{ }^{\circ}, X_{j}^{\circ}\right]=c_{k j}^{1} X_{1}^{\circ}+c_{k j}^{2} X_{2}^{\circ}+\ldots+c_{k j}^{j} X_{j}{ }^{\circ}, \quad k, j=1, \ldots, s+\mathbf{1}
$$

i.e., $c_{k j}{ }^{p}=0$ for $k<p$ or $j<p$. In the basis (3.3), Eqs.(3.2) become

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{j}{ }_{j} \mu_{k}^{{ }^{1}}=c_{j 1}{ }^{1} \mu_{k}^{{ }^{1_{1}}}, \quad X_{j}{ }_{j} \mu_{k}^{{ }^{*}}=c_{j 2}{ }^{1} \mu_{k}^{{ }^{1_{1}}}+c_{j 2}{ }^{2} \mu_{k}^{*_{2}}, \ldots  \tag{3.4}\\
& X_{j}{ }^{\circ} \mu_{k}^{*_{s}}=c_{j_{s}}{ }^{1} \mu_{k}^{{ }_{k}^{*}}+c_{j s}{ }^{2} \mu_{k}^{*^{2}}+\ldots+c_{j s}{ }^{s} \mu_{k}^{{ }^{*}}, \quad k=1, \ldots, s ; \\
& j=1, \ldots, s+1
\end{align*}
$$

The system written can be integrated successively, starting with the first

$$
\mu_{k}^{*_{1}}=c_{k}{ }^{1} \exp c_{j 1}{ }^{1} \int \alpha_{j}{ }^{\beta} d x_{\beta}, x_{s+1} \equiv t, \xi_{j}^{\varepsilon_{s+1}} \equiv \xi_{j}, \quad c_{k}{ }^{2}=\text { const }\left(\alpha_{j}{ }^{\beta} \xi_{\xi_{\beta}}{ }^{k} \delta_{j}{ }^{{ }^{K}}\right) .
$$

After integration of the first $i$ subsystem of (3.4) the ( $i+1$ ) -th subsystem consists of compatible equations of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial z / \partial x_{j}=a_{f} z+b_{j} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{j}, b_{j}$ are known functions of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s+1}$. This system is integrated by variation of the arbitrary constant

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z=C^{*} z_{0}, \quad z_{0}=\exp \left(\int a_{j} d x_{j}\right) \\
& C^{*}=C+\int b_{j} z_{0}^{-1} d x_{j}, \quad C=\mathrm{const}
\end{aligned}
$$

since it follows from the compatibility conditions of Eqs.(3.5) that $a_{j} d x_{j}$ and $b_{j} z_{0}{ }^{-1} d x_{j}$ are total differentials.

The set of functions $\mu_{k}{ }^{* i}$ thus obtained will depend on $s^{2}$ arbitrary constants $c_{j}{ }^{i}$, which will be chosen differentiy depending on the choice of the integral basis. We only require that this basis no non-degenerate. For this it suffices e.g., that the constants $c_{j}^{i}$ be subject to the condition that, at a fixed point at which no quadrature has a singularity, we have $\mu_{k}{ }^{* i}=\delta_{k}{ }^{i}$. In some problems the conditions $c_{j}{ }^{i}=\delta_{j}{ }^{i}$ ensure the non-degeneracy of the intregal basis (det $\left\|\mu_{j}^{* i}\right\| \neq 0$ ) and simultaneously simplify the working. Sometimes it is simpler not to use the above procedure at all (it always gives an answer), but to evaluate the $\mu_{k}{ }^{i}$ directly from (2.2). In short, we have proved the following theorem.

Theorem. A mechanical system with $s$ degrees of freedom and holonomic ideal non-stationary couplings, whose kinetic energy in Chetayev variables is independent of the coordinates, has just $s$ innear first integrals when it moves in the absence of active forces. If the Lie algebra used for passing to the Chetayev variables is solvable, these integrals can be found explicitly by quadratures.

Note that, if the algebra is commutative, we can find explicitly for autonomous systems a coordinate transformation which converts all s linear into cyclic integrals.
4. On the conditions for reducing the kinetic energy of a scleronomous system to a form independent of the coordinates. Let the Lagrangian and function $H^{*}$ be

$$
\begin{align*}
& L=1 / a_{i j}(t, x) x_{i} x_{j}+a_{i}(t, x) x_{i}^{*}+a_{0}(t, x)-U(x)  \tag{4.1}\\
& H^{*}=1 / 2 b_{i j}(t) y_{i} y_{j}+b_{i}(t) y_{i}+b_{0}(t)+U(x)
\end{align*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{i j}=a^{k l} \alpha_{i}{ }^{k} \alpha_{j}{ }^{l}, \quad b_{i}=-\left(a^{k l} a_{k}+\xi_{l}\right) \alpha_{i}{ }^{l}  \tag{4.2}\\
& b_{0}={ }^{1} / 2 a^{k l} a_{k} a_{l}-a_{0} \\
& a^{k l} a_{l i}=\delta_{i}{ }^{k}, \quad \alpha_{i}^{l} \xi_{l}^{k}=\delta_{i}^{k}
\end{align*}
$$

For the proof, we calculate

$$
y_{\sigma} \eta_{\sigma}-L^{*}=1 / 2 a_{i j}\left(\xi_{j} \tau_{\eta_{\tau}}+\xi_{j}\right) \xi_{i}{ }^{\sigma} \eta_{\sigma}-1 / 2 a_{i j}\left(\xi_{j}{ }^{\tau} \eta_{\tau}+\xi_{j}\right) \xi_{i}-a_{i} \xi_{i}-a_{0}+U
$$

On replacing the terms on the right-hand side of this expression that contain $\eta_{a}$ in accordance with the relations

$$
a_{k j}\left(\xi_{j}^{\tau} \eta_{\tau}+\xi_{j}\right)=y_{\sigma} \alpha_{\sigma}^{k}-a_{k}, \quad \xi_{k}{ }^{l} \eta_{l}=a^{k i}\left(y_{\sigma} \alpha_{\sigma}{ }^{l}-a_{l}\right)-\xi_{k}
$$

we obtain relations (4.2), and also

$$
H^{*}=1 / 2^{k l}\left(y_{\sigma} \alpha_{\sigma}^{k}-a_{k}\right)\left(y_{\tau} \alpha_{\tau}{ }^{l}-a_{l}\right)-\alpha_{\sigma}{ }_{\sigma} \xi_{k^{\prime}} y_{\sigma}-a_{0}+U
$$

Clearly, $H^{*}$ cannot be obtained from the Hamilton function of the system

$$
H=1 / 2^{k l}\left(p_{k}-a_{k}\right)\left(p_{l}-a_{l}\right)-a_{0}+U
$$

by the replacement $p_{k}=y_{i} \alpha_{i}^{k}$, if $\Sigma \xi_{i}^{2} \neq 0$; in this sense $H^{*}$ is not the Hamiltonian of the system when $\Sigma \xi_{i}{ }^{2} \neq 0$.

We recall for convenience Maurer's relation (/6, p.104/)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial \alpha_{\gamma}{ }^{\tau} / \partial x_{j}-\partial \alpha_{\gamma}{ }^{j} / \partial x_{\tau}=c_{\mu \nu}^{\gamma} \alpha_{\mu}{ }^{\tau} \alpha_{\nu}{ }^{j} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the couplings imposed on the system be time-independent. Then, $a_{i}=a_{0}=0, \partial a_{i j} / \partial t=0$. It can be assumed without loss of generality that $b_{i j}=\delta_{j}{ }^{i}$ and $b_{i}=0$ (taking $\xi_{l}=0$ ). The first group of relations (4.2) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i \tau}=\alpha_{\gamma}{ }^{i} \alpha_{\gamma}{ }^{\tau} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On writing the result of differentiating relations (4.4) in the light of (4.3), and of the relations $\xi_{j}{ }^{k}=a^{\sigma j} \alpha_{k}{ }^{\sigma}$, after introducing the Christoffel symbol of the second kind and transforming the terms which contain the structural constants, we find by replacement of the indices that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial \alpha_{k}^{i} / \partial x_{\tau}=\Gamma_{i \tau}^{\beta} \alpha_{k}^{\beta}+c_{\mu k}^{*} \alpha_{\gamma}^{i} \alpha_{\mu}^{\tau}, \quad c_{\mu k}^{*}=1 / 2\left(c_{\mu k}^{\gamma}+c_{\gamma k}^{\mu}+c_{\gamma \mu}^{k}\right)  \tag{4.5}\\
& \Gamma_{i \tau}^{\beta}=1 / 2 a^{\beta j}\left(\partial a_{i j} / \partial x_{\tau}+\partial a_{\tau j} / \partial x_{j}-\partial a_{i \tau} / \partial x_{j}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

The commutative case $c_{j k}{ }^{i}=0$. We will find the compatibility conditions for system (4.5). For each pair of equations

$$
\partial x_{k}^{i} / \partial x_{\tau}=\Gamma_{i \tau} \tau^{\mathrm{R}} \alpha_{k}^{\mathrm{B}}, \quad \partial{x_{k}}^{i} / \partial x_{0}=\Gamma_{i 0^{\mathrm{B}}}^{\alpha_{k}{ }^{\beta}}
$$

we obtain

$$
R_{\sigma i \tau}^{\gamma}=\hat{\sigma} \Gamma_{i \tau}{ }^{\gamma} / \partial x_{\sigma}-\partial \Gamma_{i \sigma} \nu / \partial x_{\tau}+\Gamma_{p \sigma \sigma}^{\gamma} \Gamma_{i \tau}{ }^{0}-\Gamma_{\beta \tau}^{\gamma} \Gamma_{i \sigma^{\beta}}^{\beta}=0
$$

Using the Christoffel symbol of the first kind $\Gamma_{j, i \tau}$, we can express these conditions in terms of the Riemann curvature tensor with dropped index

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{m i \tau \sigma} \equiv \frac{\partial \Gamma_{m, i \tau}}{\partial x_{\sigma}}-\frac{\partial \Gamma_{m, i \sigma}}{\partial x_{\tau}}+a^{j \beta}\left(\Gamma_{,, i \sigma} \Gamma_{\beta, m \tau}-\Gamma_{j, i \tau} \Gamma_{\beta} \quad\right)=0  \tag{4.6}\\
& \Gamma_{j, i \tau}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial a_{i j}}{\partial x_{\tau}}+\frac{\partial a_{\tau j}}{\partial x_{i}}-\frac{\partial a_{i \tau}}{\partial x_{j}}\right), \quad \Gamma_{i \tau}^{\beta}=a^{j \beta} \Gamma_{j, i \tau}
\end{align*}
$$

This is the well-known condition for isometry of the Riemann space with metric $d s^{2}=$ $a_{i j} d x_{i} d x_{j}$ to Euclidean space. The number of algebraically independent components of the Riemann tensor is $s^{2}\left(s^{2}-1\right) / 12$. They have the symmetry properties

$$
R_{m i \tau \sigma}=-R_{i m \tau \sigma}=-R_{m i \sigma \tau}=R_{\tau \sigma m i}, R_{m i \tau \sigma}+R_{m \tau \sigma i}+R_{\text {mait }}=0
$$

It can be shown that (4.4) are particular integrals of Eqs. (4.5). This means that, if conditions (4.6) hold, then the functions $\alpha_{k}{ }^{t}$ can be evaluated by finding the general solution of system (4.5), while the constants of this solution are found from conditions (4.4).

Example. Consider the inertial motion of an autonomous system with two degrees of freedom. With $s=2$, conditions (4.6) reduce to the single condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1212}=0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The motion of the system can be expressed as the motion of a material particle over a surface with the metric

$$
d s^{2}=a_{11} d x_{1}{ }^{2}+2 a_{12} d x_{1} d x_{2}+a_{28} d x_{2}{ }^{2}
$$

If condition (4.7) holds, the metric becomes Euclidean. The corresponding coordinate
transformation is evaluated by quadratures. We also solve in quadratures the corresponding mechanical problem, i.e., evaluate the trajectories (geodesics), the two linear integrals and the general solution.

For instance, let the surface over which the particle moves be a smooth cone. The kinetic energy of the particle in spherical coordinates $\varphi \equiv x_{1}, \alpha \equiv \alpha\left(x_{1}\right), R \equiv x_{2}$ is

$$
T=1 / 2\left[x_{1}^{2}\left(\alpha^{\prime 2}\left(x_{1}\right)+\sin ^{2} \alpha\left(x_{1}\right)\right) x_{1}{ }^{2}+x_{2}{ }^{2}\right] \quad(m=1)
$$

Condition (4.7) is satisfies for any function $\alpha\left(x_{1}\right)$ (it is assumed to be $2 \pi$-periodic, $0<\alpha_{0} \leqslant \alpha<\pi / 2$ ), since the cone is a developable surface. The change of variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}^{\prime}=\int x_{2}\left(\alpha^{\prime 2}+\sin ^{2} \alpha\right) \cos \psi d x_{1}+\sin \psi d x_{2}, \quad \psi=\int\left(\alpha^{\prime 2}+\sin ^{2} x\right)^{1_{2} 2} d x_{1} \\
& x_{2}^{\prime}=\int x_{2}\left(\alpha^{\prime 2}+\sin ^{2} \alpha\right) \sin \psi d x_{1}-\cos \psi d x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

reduces the kinetic energy to the canonical form $T=1 / 2\left(x_{1}^{\prime \prime 2}+x_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime 2}\right)$.
All the quantities of the dynamic problem can also be evaluated by quadratures. In order, to deal solely with explicit and elementary functions, we confine ourselves to the case of a right circular cone $(\alpha=$ const). The equations of the trajectories are in this case

$$
R=a(b \sin \psi+c \cos \psi)^{-1}, \psi=\varphi \sin \alpha
$$

The linear integrals and complete integral of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation are

$$
\begin{align*}
& R \varphi^{\prime} \cos \psi+R^{\cdot} \sin \psi / \sin \alpha=c_{1}, R \varphi^{\cdot} \sin \psi-R^{\cdot} \cos \psi / \sin \alpha=c_{2}  \tag{4.8}\\
& V=\sqrt{2 h} R \sin \left(\psi-\varphi_{0}\right) ; h, \varphi_{0}=\text { const }
\end{align*}
$$

The non-commutative case. The compatibility conditions for system (4.5) can be written at once:
(for correct tensor arrangement of the indices the super- and sub-scripts of the functions $\alpha_{j}^{i}$ must change places). The constant tensor $C_{\beta_{1} \beta_{2} \beta_{\beta} \beta_{4}}$ has the same symmetry properties as the Riemann tensor

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{\beta_{1} \beta_{1} \beta_{3} \beta_{4}=}=-C_{\beta_{4} \beta_{1} \beta_{3} \beta_{4}}=-C_{\beta_{1} \beta_{2} \beta_{4} \beta_{2}}=C_{\beta_{3} \beta_{0} \beta_{2} \beta_{2}}, \\
& \sum_{\left(\beta_{2}, \beta_{3}, \beta_{4}\right)} C_{\beta_{1} \beta_{2} \beta_{3} \beta_{4}}=0 \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

which follow from (4.10).
As in the commutative case, relations (4.4) are integral manifolds of Eqs. (4.5). With $s=2$, calculations give

$$
R_{1212}=C_{R_{1} w_{2} P_{3} \cdot{ }_{2} \cdot} \alpha_{\beta_{1}}{ }^{1} \alpha_{f_{2}}{ }^{2} \alpha_{\beta_{3}}{ }^{1} \alpha_{\rho_{4}}{ }^{2}=-\left[\left(c_{12}{ }^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(c_{12}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\right]\left(\alpha_{1}{ }^{1} \alpha_{2}{ }^{2}-\alpha_{2}{ }^{1} \alpha_{1}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}
$$

Since $\left(\alpha_{1}{ }^{1} \alpha_{2}{ }^{2}-\alpha_{2}{ }^{1} \alpha_{1}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}=a_{11} a_{22}-a_{12}{ }^{2}$, conditions (4.9) reduce to the single condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1212}=-\left[\left(c_{12}^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(c_{12}^{2}\right)^{2}\right]\left(a_{11} a_{22}-a_{12}^{2}\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which describes a surface of constant negative curvature. An example is the pseudosphere (formed by rotation of a tractrix).

In short, the equations of motion of a mechanical system with two degrees of freedom, the coefficients of whose kinetic energy satisfy condition (4.11), by the Theorem of Para.3, admit of two linear integrals.

In problems with $s \geqslant 3$ coditions (4.9) introduce new (apart from relations (4.4)) finite constraints on the functions $a_{j}^{i}$. This prevents us from obtaining useful expressions for the compatibility conditions of system (4.5) in terms of a single tensor $a_{i j}$ and its derivatives (i.e., relations which do not contain unknown functions $\alpha_{j}{ }^{i}$ ). On the other hand, we are sometimes able to find solutions of Eqs.(4.5) without integrating them.

Let us describe one such method. Using (4.9), we evaluate the components of the Ricci tensor

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{\beta_{2} \mathbb{R}_{4}}=\sum_{\mathrm{F}=1}^{s} C_{\beta \beta_{2} \beta \mathrm{R}_{4}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, using the relations $\xi_{k}{ }^{l}=a^{i k} \alpha_{l}{ }^{i}, \xi_{j}{ }^{i} \alpha_{k}{ }^{j}=\delta_{k}{ }^{i}$, we obtain $a^{i \gamma} R_{\kappa \gamma} \alpha_{l}{ }^{i}=h_{\gamma 1} \alpha_{\gamma}{ }^{k}$, in the matrix
form

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{*} \alpha=\alpha h ; \quad R^{*}=\left(a^{\gamma \beta} R_{k \beta}\right), \quad \alpha=\left(\alpha_{l}^{\gamma}\right), \quad h=\left(h_{\gamma l}\right) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the similarity of matrices $R^{*}$ and $h$ that the eigenvalues of $R^{*}$, and hence the coefficients of its characteristic equation, must be constant. (In practice, these necessary conditions have to be checked in the first place). In view of this, not more than $s(s+1) / 2-s=s(s-1) / 2$ of Eqs.(4.12) (in which $R^{*}$ and $h$ are symmetric matrices) will be algebraically independent. In the general position the number of independent equations cannot be less than $s(s-1) / 2$. Together with the $s(s+1) / 2$ Eqs. (4.4) we obtain $s^{2}$ equations for evaluating the functions $a_{j}{ }^{i}$. Substitution of the functions thus obtained into Eqs.(4.5) gives the necessary and sufficient conditions for our problem to be solvable.
5. Motion under the action of active forces. We now turn to the case when the mechanical system is subject to the action of both conservative and non-conservative forces. The latter will be assumed for simplicity to be independent of the velocities, i.e., they are positional displacements. As before, the constraints are assumed to be holonomic and nonstationary, and the kinetic energy, transformed to Chetayev variables, is assumed to be independent of the coordinates (see (1.9)). However, when forces are present, we need not assume that the function $b_{0}$ appearing in the expression for $H^{*}$ in accordance with the second of (4.1) is independent of the coordinates; it can be associated with the potential energy $U(x)$, and the forces corresponding to the sum $b+U$ to belong to non-conservative forces. Then, the forces obtained will be called reduced, and denoted by $Q_{\alpha}{ }^{\prime}$. Obviously, $Q_{\alpha}{ }^{\prime}=Q_{\alpha}-$ $\partial\left(b_{0}+U\right) / \partial x_{\alpha}$. We assume that, after introducing a suitable algebra and forces $Q_{\alpha}{ }^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{t}{H^{*}}=1 / 2 b_{i j}(t) y_{i} y_{j}+b_{i}(t) y_{i} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The commutation relations for this case are (1.6) and (1.7).
Relations (1.7) show that the necessary and sufficient conditions for the system of operators $X_{0}{ }^{*}, X_{k}{ }^{*}$ to remain closed when reduced forces are present are

$$
X_{k} Q_{i}^{\prime}=-Q_{\alpha}^{\prime} \partial \xi_{\alpha}{ }^{k} / \partial x_{i}
$$

which can be given the equivalent form

$$
X_{i} Q_{k}^{*}=c_{i k}^{l} Q_{l}^{*}, \quad Q_{k}^{*}=\xi_{\alpha}^{k} Q_{\alpha^{\prime}}^{\prime}
$$

Since it can be shown in the usual way that this system is compatible, it has solutions, which can be evaluated in quadratures if the algebra $A$ is solvable (see Para.3).

Let us find the conditions under which the forces $Q_{\alpha}{ }^{\prime}$ admit of the force function (possibly time-dependent)

$$
Q_{\alpha}^{\prime}=\partial U^{\prime} / \partial x_{\alpha}, \quad Q_{k}{ }^{*}=\xi_{\alpha}{ }^{\dot{k}} \partial U^{\prime} / \partial x_{\alpha}=X_{k} U^{\prime}
$$

By conditions (5.1),

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{j} X_{k} U^{\prime}-c_{j k}^{l} X_{l} U^{\prime}=\left(X_{j} X_{k}-c_{j k}^{l} X_{i}\right) U^{\prime}=X_{k} X_{j} U^{\prime}=X_{k} Q_{j}^{*}=0  \tag{5.2}\\
& Q_{j}^{*}=c_{j}^{*}(t), \quad c_{j}^{*} c_{i k}^{j}=0
\end{align*}
$$

Conditions (5.2) are in fact sufficient for the forces $Q_{\alpha}{ }^{\prime}$ to admit of a force function. Indeed, $Q_{h}^{\prime}=c_{l}{ }^{*} \alpha_{l}{ }^{k}$. On multiplying Maurer's equations by $c_{l}{ }^{*}$ and summing over $l$, we obtain

$$
\frac{\partial c_{l}{ }^{*} \alpha_{l}^{\mu}}{\partial x_{v}}-\frac{\partial c_{l}{ }^{*} \alpha_{l}{ }^{v}}{\partial x_{\mu}}=\frac{\partial Q_{\mu}{ }^{\prime}}{\partial x_{v}}-\frac{\partial Q_{v}{ }^{\prime}}{\partial x_{\mu}}=c_{l}{ }^{*} c_{j k}^{l} \alpha_{j}^{\mu}{x_{k}}^{v}=0
$$

Hence $Q_{a}^{\prime}=\partial U^{\prime} / \partial x_{a}, U^{\prime}=U^{\prime}(t, x)$.
We define the functions $\mu_{k}{ }^{i}(t, x)$ by (2.2): $X_{0} \mu_{k}{ }^{i}=c_{p_{0}}{ }^{k} \mu_{p}{ }^{i}, X_{\nu} \mu_{k}{ }^{i}=c_{p v}{ }^{k} \mu_{p}{ }^{i}$. We examine the properties of the products $\varepsilon^{i}=\mu_{k}{ }^{i} Q_{k}{ }^{*}$. We have

$$
X_{v} \varepsilon^{\prime}=\mu_{k}{ }^{i} X_{\nu} Q_{k}{ }^{*}+Q_{k}{ }^{*} X_{v} \mu_{k}{ }^{i}=\mu_{k}{ }^{i} c_{v k}^{l} Q_{l}{ }^{*}+Q_{k}^{*} c_{p v}^{k} \mu_{p}{ }^{i}=\left(c_{v k}^{l}+c_{k v}^{l}\right) \mu_{k}{ }^{i} Q_{i}{ }^{*}=0
$$

Hence $\lambda_{\varepsilon}{ }^{i} / \partial x_{j}=0, \mu_{k}{ }^{i} Q_{k}{ }^{*}=\varepsilon^{i}(t)$. Thus the most general form of the $Q_{a_{i}^{\prime},}$, corresponding to admissible forces, is given, apart from the arbitrariness of the functions $\varepsilon(t)$, by the constraints imposed on the mechanical system

$$
Q l^{*}=\varepsilon^{i}(t) \mu_{i}^{* l}, \quad \mu_{i}^{* l} \mu_{\mathrm{k}}^{i}=\delta_{k}^{l}
$$

We shall seek the linear integrals of the mechanical system in the form $\omega_{i}^{\prime}=\mu_{j}{ }^{\prime} y_{j}+$ $\mu_{0}{ }^{i}(t)$. On substituting these expressions into the equations $X_{1}{ }^{*} \omega_{1}{ }^{\prime}=0, \ldots, X_{s}{ }^{*} \omega_{i}{ }^{\prime}=0$, we get

$$
X_{k}^{*} \omega_{i}^{\prime}=y_{j} X_{k} \mu_{j}^{i}+\mu_{j}^{i} c_{k j}^{p} y_{p}=\left(c_{p k}^{j}+c_{k p}^{j}\right) \mu_{p}^{i} y_{j}=0
$$

By choosing the functions $\mu_{0}{ }^{i}(t)$ we try to satisfy the equations $X_{0}{ }^{*} \omega_{i}{ }^{\prime}=0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{0}{ }^{*} \omega_{i}{ }^{\prime}=y_{i} X_{0} \mu_{j}{ }^{i}+\mu_{j}{ }^{i}\left(c_{0 j}{ }^{2} y_{p}+Q_{j}{ }^{*}\right)+d \mu_{0}{ }^{i}(t) / d t= \\
& \quad\left(c_{p 0}^{j}+c_{0 p}^{j}\right) \mu_{p}{ }^{i} y_{j}+\mu_{j}{ }^{i} Q_{j}{ }^{*}+d \mu_{0}{ }^{i}(t) / d t=\varepsilon^{i}(t)+d \mu_{0}{ }^{i}(t) / d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, the equations will be satisfied if we put

$$
\mu_{0}^{i}(t)=-\int \varepsilon^{i}(t) d t
$$

Thus the required inear integrals are

$$
\omega_{i}^{\prime}=\mu_{j}^{i}(t, x) y_{j}-\int \varepsilon^{i}(t) d t=c_{i}
$$

Since $\mu_{j}^{i} y_{j}=\omega_{i}$ are the first integrals of the mechanical system when there are no admissible forces, the imposition of the latter leads to evolution of these integrals $\omega_{l}=$ $\int \varepsilon^{i}(t) d t+c_{i}$.

Notice that, if the basic algebra is commutative, conditions (5.2) are satisfied, and the motion takes place in a field with a force function. In this case $\mu_{j}{ }^{i}=\mu_{j}{ }^{i}(t)$. If $\mu_{j}{ }^{i}=$ $\delta_{j}{ }^{i}$, then $\varepsilon^{j}=c_{j}{ }^{*}$. The first integrals are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{i}^{\prime}=y_{i}-\int c_{i}^{*} d t=c_{i} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the force function is given by a quadrature

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \equiv U^{\prime}=c_{j}^{*} \int \alpha_{j}^{l} d x_{l} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This may not be a unique function of the system coordinates. The problem of the motion under the action of admissible forces then losses its mechanical meaning.

Example. In the problem of particle motion over the surface of a right circular cone

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}^{1}=x_{2} \cos \psi, \alpha_{1}^{2}=\sin \psi / \sin \alpha, \alpha_{2}^{1}=x_{2} \sin \psi \\
& \alpha_{2}^{2}=-\cos \psi / \sin \alpha, x_{1}=\varphi, x_{2}=R \sin \alpha, \psi=x_{1} \sin \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have the commutative case. Calculation from (5.4) gives

$$
V=R\left[c_{1}{ }^{*}(t) \sin (\varphi \sin \alpha)-c_{2}^{*}(t) \cos (\varphi \sin \alpha)\right]
$$

The force function $V$ is unique only when $\alpha=\pi / 2$, when the particle moves over a plane in a homogeneous field of force. When $\alpha \neq \pi / 2$ the forces acting on the particle lose their mechanical nature, but the equations of motion, in accordance with (5.3), admit of linear integrals corresponding to (4.8) with $c_{1}, c_{2}$ replaced by $c_{1}-\int c_{1} * d t, c_{2}-\int c_{2}^{*} d t$.
6. Remark on the nature of the equations of motion. Systems of hydrodynamic type. If the Hamiltonian of the system can be reduced to the form (5.1), the equations of motion can be written in the form ( $Q^{\prime}$ are the reduced forces).

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{\alpha} \cdot=b_{i j} \xi_{\alpha}{ }^{i} y_{j}+\xi_{\alpha}{ }^{i} b_{i}+\xi_{\alpha}  \tag{6.1}\\
& y_{j}^{\cdot}=c_{l j}^{p} b_{l i} y_{p} y_{i}+\left(c_{l j}^{p} b_{l}+c_{0 j}^{p}\right) y_{p}+\xi_{l}^{j} Q_{l}^{\prime} \tag{6.2}
\end{align*}
$$

A typical feature of this description of the mechanical problem is that, with $Q_{j}{ }^{*}=c_{j}{ }^{*}(t)$, the equations for the Chetayev variables $y_{j}$ are separate from the rest, and can therefore have an independent origin. For instance, with $b_{i i}=\delta_{i}^{l}, b_{l}=c_{0 j}{ }^{p}=Q_{i}^{\prime}=0$, Eqs. (6.2) can be written as

$$
y_{j}=A_{j l k} y_{l} y_{k}, \quad A_{i l k}=1 / 2\left(c_{k i}^{l}+c_{l i}^{k}\right)
$$

It can be verified that $A_{i l k}=A_{i l i}, A_{i l k}+A_{i k i}+A_{k i l}=0$. If the extra conditions $c_{i l}=0\left(A_{i l l}=\right.$ 0 , are imposed on the structural constants, we arrive at a system of hydrodynamic type /7/.

In cases when Eqs. (6.2) describe a separate problem, Eqs.(6.1) become auxiliary. The components of the operators $X_{0}, X_{k}$. in them can be chosen arbitrarily provided that the structural constants are chosen in accordance with the specific problem. If the $Q_{j}{ }^{*}=\xi_{l}{ }^{j} Q_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ are then admissible quantities, and Eqs. (6.1) (noting that there are s inear integrals in the present case) are integrable, the problem can be completely solved.

In other cases, conversely, Eqs. (6.2) may be found to be integrable when admissible forces are present. Then, if the linear integrals can be solved with respect to the coordinates $x_{a}$, we obtain a complete solution of the mechanical problem described by system ( 6.1 ), (6.2).

The author thanks V.V. Rumyantsev and A.S. Sumbatov for useful discussions.

## REFERENCES

1. POINCARÉ H., Sur une forme nouvelle des équations de la mecanique, C.R. Acad. sci., 132, 1901.
2. CHETAYEV N.G., Stability of motion. Papers on analytical mechanics, Izd-vo Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow, 1962.
3. ARNOL'D V.I., Mathematical methods of classical mechanics, Nauka, Moscow, 1974.
4. GANTMAKHER F.R., Lectures on analytical mechanics, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1960.
5. MARKHATOV L.M., On the Poincaré and Poincaré-Chetayev equations, PMM, 49, 1, 1985.
6. Chebotarev n.g., Theory of Lie groups, Gostekhizdat, Moscow-Leningrad, 1940.
7. OBUKHOV A.M. (Ed.), Non-linear systems of hydrodynamic type, Nauka, Moscow, 1974.

Translated by D.E.B.

PMM U.S.S.R.,Vol.51,No.5,pp.573-578,1987
0021-8928/87 \$10.00+0.00
Printed in Great Britain
(C) 1989 Pergamon Press plc
a consequence of the invariance of the gauss principle*
V.A. VUJICIC


#### Abstract

The invariant form of the Gauss principle of least compulsion in the space of positions of a system with constraints (some of which may be non-holonomic) is considered. A modified construction of the compulsion function in configuration space is proposed. The modified expression contains information on the constraints. From the complete system of differential equations, equations are obtained for finding the reactions of the constraints. An example of the use of this approach is given.


Manay authors, see $/ 1,2 /$, have considered the analytic form of the Gauss principle. However, there is still no standard treatment of the principle in analytical dynamics. For instance, it is said in /3/, p.192, that "the Gauss principle ... does not have the analytical advantages of other principles", and "is of less value than the principle at least action (/3/, p.134). Other authors (/4/, p.219) say that the "Gibbs-Appell equations (with which the Gauss principle is closely linked) represent the simplest and at the same time the most general form of the equations of motion". Yet, though these equations are closely linked with the principle of least compulsion, they do not contain the compulsion function

$$
Z=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{v} m_{v}\left(x^{.}-\frac{X_{v}}{m_{v}}\right)^{2}
$$

but the Gibbs-Appell function

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{v} m_{v} x^{\varkappa_{2}} \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{v}$ is the mass of the $v$-th point of the system, $x_{v}{ }^{"}$ are the coordinates of the acceleration vector, and $X_{v}$ are the coordinate of the vector of forces in a rectangular orthogonal system of coordinates.

Apart from these inconsistencies, there are difficulties in introducing the generalized Lagrange coordinates, in which

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=s-Q_{\alpha} q^{\bullet \alpha} \tag{0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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